

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,557	09/27/2001	Richard Charles Allen	55871US002	4597	
32692 75	590 07/18/2003				
	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			CURTIS, CRAIG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	-	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/966,557	ALLEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Craig H. Curtis	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith th correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	36(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely.			
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	e, cause the application to become /	ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u>	September 2001 .				
·— ·	nis action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims		,			
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	<u>.</u>				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		alouppioted by the Exermine.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2872

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, the meaning of the limitation "...a polarizer element, wherein the polarizer element preferentially transmits a substantial portion of light having a first circular polarization...." (claim 18, II. 2-3) cannot be ascertained. That is, by itself, a polarizer element would not transmit a substantial portion of light having a first circular (as opposed to, say, a first linear) component. On the other hand, a polarizer and a polarization rotator element (disposed in the proper order) would be capable of transmitting a substantial portion of light having a first circular polarization.

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-12, and 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shingaki et al. (EP 0487047 A2) in view of Mitsutake et al. (5,568,283).

Shingaki et al. disclose (See Fig. 1) the invention as claimed: said invention comprising, inter alia, a polarizing element, as well as, implicitly, a method of polarizing light--including wherein said polarizer element (1) has a polarization axis (inherent), wherein said polarizer element preferentially transmits light having a polarization that is parallel to said polarization axis (inherent); and

a separate polarization rotator element (5) and configured and arranged to rotate the polarization of at least a portion of the light that is transmitted by the polarizer element by an angle of at least 5 degrees (col. 5, II. 5-10); wherein said polarizer element is a first polarizer element, said invention further comprising a second polarizer element (3) having a polarization axis that differs from the polarization axis of the first polarizer element by at least 5 degrees (col. 6, II. 23-27) and wherein said polarization rotator element is disposed between said first and second polarizer elements (See Fig. 1); wherein said polarization rotator element is configured and arranged to rotate the polarization of at least a portion of the light transmitted by the first polarizer element to within 5 degrees of the polarization axis of the

Art Unit: 2872

second polarizer element (inherent); wherein the polarization rotator element is configured and arranged to rotate the polarization of at least a portion of the light transmitted by the first polarizer element to the polarization axis of the second polarizer element (again, inherent); further comprising an alignment layer disposed between the polarizer element and the polarization rotator element (col. 1, II. 26-32), alignment layers comprising polymeric material that has been photoaligned being well-known in the art; either surface of the polarizer element facilitates (by orientation alone) alignment of said polarization rotator element--EXCEPT FOR an explicit teaching wherein said invention is a film.

Mitsutake et al., however, disclose a filmic apparatus and, by extension, a method of polarizing light. See Figs. 1, 3, and 5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus and implicit method teachings of Shingaki et al. such that said apparatus and method be constituted in the form of a film, as motivated by the explicit and implicit teachings of same by Mitsutake et al., for at least the purpose of realizing said apparatus in a more compact volume than would be the possible if elements comprising said apparatus and constituting said method were disposed separately with respect to one another.

Mitsutake et al. further teach, with specific reference to claim 9, a polarization rotator element (308) that comprises a liquid crystal material. See col. 5, II. 56-58. It is noted, with reference to claims 10-12, that liquid crystal material exhibits, to however small an extent, both light absorption as well as diffusion, and that in order for said apparatus as a whole to function properly, said light absorbing material must be aligned within said polarization rotator element to substantially absorb light having a first Art Unit: 2872

polarization and to substantially transmit light having a second polarization orthogonal to the first polarization.

With regard to claims 18-20, the combination discloses a film comprising the recited elements in the same manner as that taught in these claims of the instant invention.

With regard to claims 21-24, the combination discloses a display device as claimed . See above.

3. Claims 16 & 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shingaki et al. (EP 0487047 A2) in view of Mitsutake et al. (5,568,283).

The combination discloses the invention as claimed EXCEPT FOR explicit teachings wherein: the polarization rotator element rotates the polarization of the portion of the light that is transmitted by the polarizer element by an angle in the range of 40 to 50 degrees; or by an angle in the range of 85 to 95 degrees. Rotation of polarization of light by angles in these ranges, however, is disclosed in the prior art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention such that its polarization rotator element rotate the polarization of the portion of the light that is transmitted by the polarizer element by an angle in the range of 40 to 50 degrees or 85 to 95 degrees, such teaching being well-known in the optical art, for at least the purpose of optimizing contrast properties of light or other characteristics associated with said invention, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 2872

4. Claims 5 & 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shingaki et al. (EP 0487047 A2) in view of Mitsutake et al. (5,568,283), as applied above with respect to, inter alia, claim 1, and further in view of Hansen et al. (5,986,730).

The combination discloses the claimed invention as set forth above EXCEPT FOR explicit teachings wherein: said first polarizer element comprises a reflective polarizer and the second polarizer element comprises an absorbing polarizer; wherein said polarizer element of claim 1 comprises either a reflective polarizer (as recited in claim 13), an absorbing polarizer (as recited in claim 14), or a reflective polarizer and an absorbing polarizer (as recited in claim 15). Applicants are hereby apprised that criticality has not been associated with any one of these teachings with respect to the others (i.e., said polarizer element comprising a reflective polarizer vs. its comprising an absorbing polarizer vs. its comprising a reflective polarizer and an absorbing polarizer (emphasis added)).

Hansen et al., however, disclose an absorptive polarizer as one example of a polarizing means and, further, provide an explicit teaching wherein [a]ny means for polarizing the light so that light having mostly one polarization orientation is passed may be used. Col. 7, II. 23. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention of the combination such that its polarizers (first or first and second) variously comprise, individually or in combination, reflective and absorptive polarizers, as taught by Hansen et al., for at least the purpose of achieving a desired polarization state for light traversing said invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,557 Page 7

Art Unit: 2872

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig Curtis, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0776. The facsimile phone number for Art Unit 2872 is (703) 308-7721.

Any inquiry of a general nature regarding the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Audrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800

Villa X. Curtiv Craig 10. Curtis Group Art Unit 2872 10 June 2003